

Child Poverty

May 2011



Child Poverty Act

- Places on Local Authorities and partners a requirement to "reduce and mitigate the effect of child poverty in their local areas"
- Lincolnshire County Council is required to conduct, produce and publish a Child Poverty Needs Assessment (CPNA) and develop and publish a Child Poverty Strategy in 2011



Child Poverty Strategy

- National strategy shows shift in emphasis from increasing family income to improving children's life chances
- Lincolnshire's outline strategy themes:
 - economic poverty
 - poverty of aspiration
 - poverty of access
- LCC work programme areas:
 - Early Years, early intervention and prevention
 - Schools, narrowing the gap
 - Transitions (16 24), targeting those at risk of becoming unemployed
 - Supporting people into employment



Child Poverty

- Traditional national headline Child Poverty National Indicator (NI) 116:
 - proportion of dependent children living in households with an income of <60% of the contemporary national median
- Latest data set is for 2008
- Only real way to reduce pure child poverty is to increase family income



National Strategy: new Key Performance Indicators (KPIs)

Family Resources

Family circumstances

Children's life chances



Some key points from national strategy

- Parents' income is a major predictor of children's future income
- 55% of all children living in relative poverty live in a family where at least one adult is in some form of paid employment
- A child eligible for Free School Meals (FSM) is half as likely to achieve five or more GCSEs grade A* - C (inc English and Maths) compared to a child from a wealthier background



Child Poverty data

	U16s		0-19s	
England	2,068,970	21.6%	2,341,975	20.9%
Lincs	20,100	16.5%	22,730	15.9%
Boston	2,215	11.0%	2,465	10.8%
E.Lindsey	4,500	22.4%	5,215	22.9%
Lincoln	3,930	19.5%	4,390	19.3%
N.Kesteven	1,820	9.1%	2,100	9.2%
S.Holland	2,215	11.0%	2,450	10.8%
S.Kesteven	3,035	15.1%	3,405	15.0%
W.Lindsey	2,385	11.9%	2,705	11.9%

Top 10 wards by %

WARD	DISTRICT	%	No.
Lincolnshire		15.9%	
Fenside	Boston	40.7%	510
Ingoldmells	E.Lindsey	40.3%	130
Mabo' Central	E.Lindsey	39.1%	145
Earlesfield	S.Kesteven	37.0%	810
Mabo' East	E.Lindsey	36.6%	140
G'boro East	W.Lindsey	35.8%	670
Trinity	E.Lindsey	35.6%	210
T'thorpe/Mabo	E.Lindsey	35.5%	85
Mabo' North	E.Lindsey	34.6%	130
Glebe	Lincoln	34.2%	715
Total			3545

Top 10 wards by no.

WARD	DISTRICT	No.	%
Lincolnshire			15.9%
Earlesfield	S.Kesteven	810	37.0%
Glebe	Lincoln	715	34.2%
G'boro East	W.Lindsey	670	35.8%
Birchwood	Lincoln	655	30.5%
Moorland	Lincoln	610	32.9%
Fenside	Boston	510	40.7%
Minster	Lincoln	465	28.5%
Park	Lincoln	460	26.5%
Abbey	Lincoln	450	25.7%
G'boro North	W.Lindsey	380	23.8%
Total		5725	

Lower Super Output Areas (LSOAs)

- Boston x 2
- Lincoln x 31
- South Kesteven x 5
- West Lindsey x 8
- Total 46

Boston x 4

East Lindsey x 6

Lincoln x 9

North Kesteven x 1

South Holland x 1

South Kesteven x 4

West Lindsey x 5

Total 30



Key points

- 25% of children living in poverty live in 30 LSOAs
- 50% of children living in poverty live in Lone Parent families in receipt of Job Seekers Allowance (JSA)
- 62% of children living in poverty are age 0 10
- Many families in poverty are also in debt
- 16.9% of those with children live in fuel poverty (national data)



Key points

- There is a correlation between wards where there is a high proportion of children living in poverty and:
 - Those were there is a high proportion of children not achieving the NI level in the Early Years Foundation Stage (EYFS) profile
 - Those where there is a high proportion of young people not achieving 5 GCSEs grade A* - C (inc. Maths and English)



Key points

- The no. of adults without a full Level 2 (L2) qualification is continually being topped up
- 97% of the County's employers are Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs)
- Cost of transport is a barrier to employment in remote rural areas



